



Attorney's Docket No. 22033-1-0010

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Andres Palomo et al.

Serial No. **10/036,008**

Filed: **Dec. 26, 2001**

For: **COMPUTER-IMPLEMENTED SYSTEM
AND METHOD FOR PRODUCTIVITY
ENHANCEMENT**

Art Unit: **3626**

Examiner: **Koppikar, Vivek D.**

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT AND REMARKS IN RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Sir:

Applicants hereby respond to the Examiner's Office Action of May 16, 2006 in which Claims 9, 24, 32, 46, 53, 66 and 73 were objected to because of informalities, Claims 1-47, 49-54, 56-69 and 71-73 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,658,432 to *Alavi* ("*Alavi*") and Claims 48, 55 and 70 were rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Alavi* in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication 2003/0181790 to *David*.

Applicant has submitted a fee of \$510.00 for a three month extension of time. Applicant does not believe that any other fees are due. Applicant hereby authorizes the Commissioner to charge any required additional fees, or credit any overpayments to deposit account number 502725.

Please amend the above-identified application and enter Applicant's response into the record as follows:

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 3 of this paper.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 10 of this paper.